INLAND VALLEYS REALTOR R THE OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE INLAND VALLEYS ASSOCIATION OF THE INLAND VALLEYS ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

Candidates for the IVAR Board of Directors Prepare to Lead

FOR MORE INFORMATION GO TO PAGE 4





RIVERSIDE OFFICE: 3690 Elizabeth Street Riverside, CA 92506

RANCHO CUCAMONGA OFFICE: 10574 Acacia St., STE D-7 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 www.ivaor.com

2017 IVAR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Frank Licea – President Joe Cusumano – President-Elect Donna O'Donnell – Treasurer Scott Gieser – Immediate Past-President Jesse Armendarez – Director Kama Burton – Director Vicki Carpenter – Director Vicki Carpenter – Director Lance Martin – Director Ed Neighbors – Director John Schulte – Director Mike Stoffel – Director

IVAR STAFF

Mark Dowling – CEO Paul Herrera – Government Affairs Director Griselda Cena – Office Manager Lupe Lopez – Accounting Assistant Jean Wiltz – Education Coordinator Linda Vansant – MLS Alejandra Esquivel – MLS Assistant Deanna McWilliams – Member Services Melinda Medina – Member Services Priscilla Bugayong – Member Services Van Romine – IT/Operations

ADVERTISING

Call Toll-free: (800) 628-6983 for advertising rates and reservations. Foley Publication, Inc. www.foleypub.com APRIL 2017

Table of **Contents**

COLUMNS

- 4 President's Message Candidates for the IVAR Board of Directors Prepare to Lead
- 6 **Government Affairs Update**
- 8-9 C.A.R. REALEGAL Newsletter
- 10-16 **Regional Housing Market Report**
- 17 With National Flood Insurance Program Expiring in Six Months, Realtors[®] Sound the Alarm
- 18-19 Affordability, Tight Supply Cause Vacation Home Sales to Plummet in 2016; Investment Sales Climb 4.5%
- 20 Receive Weekly IVAR News and Updates via Text Messages

ADVERTISERS

imortgage	
Finance of America Mortgage	5



A division of loanDepot, NMLS#174457

TURNING HOMEOWNERSHIP DREAMS INTO REALITY.



AT LOANDEPOT'S RETAIL IMORTGAGE DIVISION, we understand that in today's market home financing requires a company with a proven track record, financial strength and integrity. As America's fifth largest retail lender, loanDepot has funded \$100 billion in home, personal and home equity loans since 2010. Our company is founded on uncompromising ethical standards, best-in-class customer care and a passionate entrepreneurial spirit, ensuring that we deliver a full suite of high quality, competitively priced lending products to borrowers nationwide.

To learn more about us and the quality loan products available in our area, please contact us today!



imortgage **TEMECULA 951-375-4800**

41607 Margarita Rd., Suite 101 Temecula, CA 92591 **www.imortgage.com/temecula**

imortgage

RANCHO CUCAMONGA 909-912-7810

8686 Haven Ave., Suite 150 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 www.imortgage.com/ranchocucamonga

RICHARD HEDRICK, NMLS ID 1059650 Branch Manager: Temecula & Rancho Cucamonga | richard.hedrick@imortgage.com

Rates, terms, and availability of programs are subject to change without notice. IoanDepot.com, LLC dba imortgage NMLS ID 174457. Licensed by the Department of Business Oversight under the California Residential Mortgage Lending Act CRMLA 4131040. (02082017-8513)





Candidates for the IVAR Board of Directors Prepare to Lead



FRANK LICEA, 2017 IVAR PRESIDENT

In June, IVAR members will have the opportunity to vote for the people they feel are best equipped to lead one of the best professional organizations operating at the local level in California. During the month of June, members will be able to cast their votes electronically to select directors and a President for 2019.

I'm privileged to serve with an outstanding group of REALTORS® give their time selflessly while debating how to best shape IVAR. In recent years, this team, CEO Mark Dowling and our outstanding staff has built a track record of achievement and professionalism that is second to none.

Thanks to the leadership of the board and the hard work of IVAR's staff, we have an association that is remained fiscally strong while investing in our member outreach and customer service. We have created new, valuable tools for members such as our bestin-league housing data reports and recently unveiled broker tools.

We have an outstanding government affairs program that has, among many things, turned concerns about PACE programs from a local problem to a national debate. Our work has created reforms both within the programs and in the laws that govern them. These changes will hopefully result in changes that stop practices that have dealt real and unexpected damage to too many homeowners in our region. We recently analyzed the real impacts of FHA loan limit reductions and are using that information to work with policy makers about the need to restore higher loan limits for our region.

Our directors are the link that ensures our association caters to the needs and best interests of our members. We are all working brokers and agents who constantly seek ways to help build an IVAR that finds new and creative ways to make our members more successful.

So when you see the message that voting has begun, please take a moment to log on and help pick the team you feel is best suited to lead IVAR into the future. Then consider if you would like to be part of our leadership in the future. We invite you to join a committee, make your voice heard and join colleagues who have helped make IVAR the best in the business.



WHY WE STANDOUT

There are so many reasons why Finance of America Mortgage may be the solution to your financing needs. Above all, we believe that our unique ability to fit a variety of client needs is the key to our success. Not only are our clients vital to our success, so are our employees and referral partners!

MORE REASONS TO CHOOSE FINANCE OF AMERICA MORTGAGE:

- 95% LTV for Jumbo Loans in the Inland Empire
- FHA, VA and Conventional with fewer lender requirements
- Marketing strategies for our business partners and employees

> CONTACT ME TODAY!



Brett Reichel Branch Manager | Mortgage Advisor NMLS-210215

c: (909) 657-5101 **o:** (503) 784-0482

breichel@financeofamerica.com FinanceofAmerica.com/breichel "Finance of America Mortgage is a great support team. As an Army Veteran and retired Deputy Sheriff, I have become familiar with working in a team environment so when I first checked out Finance of America, I quickly identified the teamwork mentality and support that exists here. For me, this was all I needed to see to choose Finance of America Mortgage. This has resulted in better performance for me and success for my clients and business partners."

—Ed Avila, Mortgage Advisor | NMLS-1367993

This is not a commitment to lend. Prices and guidelines are subject to change without notice. Some products may not be available in all states. Subject to review of credit and/or collateral; not all applicants will qualify for financing. It is important to make an informed decision when selecting and using a loan product; make sure to compare loan types when making a financing decision. ©2016 Finance of America Mortgage LLC is licensed nationwide | 🙆 | NMLS ID # 1071 (www.nmlsconsumeraccess.org) | AZ Mortgage Banker License #0910184 Licensed by the Department of Business Oversight under the California Residential Mortgage Lending Act | Georgia Residential Mortgage Licensee | Illinois Residential Mortgage Licensee Kansas Licensed Mortgage Company | Licensed by the NJ. Department of Banking and Insurance | Licensed Mortgage Banker -- NYS Banking Department | Rhode Island Licensed Lender

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS UPDATE



PAUL HERRERA, GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS DIRECTOR

Pant Han

Local: Reduction in FHA Loan Limits Caused Use of FHA to Plummet in Local Communities

In 2013, with FHA loan limits set at \$500,000 in the Inland Empire and a market recovery just starting to form, buyers used FHA loans on more than a third of transactions to finance their purchases. That fact was true in communities across the Inland Empire, including in higher-cost areas in western Riverside and San Bernardino County.

The next year, loan limits were cut by nearly a third to \$350,000 in a market where prices were rebounding rapidly. Now, three years later, the data clearly shows the impact of this action at the federal level.

A recent analysis of sales trends shows that Inland cities that fell between the old loan limit and the lowered limits saw FHA buying activity plummet. While that's hardly surprising, this review of data provides the first clear, analytical view of what took place and why it remains important to seek higher limits for the region.

Seven Inland Empire cities fall above the FHA loan limit (which has now been adjusted up to \$370,000). That group includes nearly every city that borders Los Angeles County or Orange County along major east-west transportation corridors. It does not include Montclair, which is the smallest city along the corridor. Also, for the purposes of this review, it also does not include Chino Hills, which was above the loan limit before and after the change.

The seven studied cities – Eastvale, Upland, Rancho Cucamonga, Chino, Norco and Corona – saw FHA buyers plummet in recent years from about one in three buyers to one in 10. At the same time, communities just below that level saw a dip in FHA activity that largely rebounded once investment activity subsided in 2015.

This analysis shows how FHA buyers have been crowded out of large areas of western Riverside and San Bernardino County. It further reveals a need to continue seeking a path toward restoring loan limits for a region that is more closely tied to the Los Angeles and Orange County markets (where loan limits are above \$630,000) than communities in the High Desert and Coachella Valley.

State: Bill to Ban Dual Agency in Commercial Real Estate Shelved for 2017

Following a vehement response from the commercial real estate industry and from REALTORS[®], legislation that would have ended dual agency in commercial transactions has been pulled from consideration this year. AB 1059, which was scheduled to be heard in a legislative hearing on May 2nd, will not move forward for now.

The bill may be brought back for consideration in 2018 by the current legislature. However, for now, the issue will not be part of the legislature's agenda.

Federal: REALTORS® Back Effort to Hide Tax Increases in Mortgage Fees

During the financial crisis, the U.S. Treasury pledged as much as \$200 billion to keep mortgage giants Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac operational. The "bailout", as it came to be known, turned out to be far less expensive than that cap. As the housing market crisis began to unwind, the two entities have shifted from receiving a financial bail out to helping to provide billions in revenue – even after paying back all of the bailout founds provided. On the flip side, two entities created to help maintain a stable mortgage system are now used as important, alternative sources of government funds for the U.S. Treasury. In the last session of Congress, REALTORS[®] helped to defeat a proposal to raise "guarantee" fees on new mortgages and divert the funds to transportation infrastructure.

Guarantee fees, also known as "g-fees", are meant to create reserves and financial stability to the mortgage system to avoid any need for future taxpayer bailouts. However, the ability to tack disguised new taxes on mortgages has proven irresistible at times to lawmakers.

Now, REALTORS[®] are backing HR 916, a bill designed to curtail this practice and keep guarantee fees for their intended use – to stabilize mortgage lending by funding Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac reserves through their regular operations.

Support our Mission, Support the REALTOR Party

The most important thing each member can do to support our government affairs work is to stay informed and help spread the word on important issues to your colleagues, clients, friends and neighbors. Nothing is more important than your time, including the time you devote to making your voice heard at the ballot box each election day. Our work is supported through voluntary contributions made by members to the REALTOR® Action Fund. These annual contributions of \$49 or more help ensure that we have the resources to research important issues, communicate with our members and mobilize our industry to have the impact necessary to make a difference.

You can make a contribution as you renew your membership – or anytime by going to www.car.org/governmentaffairs/raf.

Questions? Comments? You can reach Paul Herrera, Government Affairs Director, at pherrera@ivaor.com or on his cell phone at 951-500-1222.



RPR mobile Empowering REALTORS® anytime, anyplace

Download the app. Exclusively for REALTORS[®]



Google play







25 For Sale · SFR **\$975,000** \$272/SQ ft | ^{List} **\$975,000** \$272/SQ ft | ^{List} **\$983,000** RVM details4 RVM · **\$983,000** RVM details4 5 beds · 4 baths · 3,584 sqft 14,955 sqft lot · 21 days on RPR 14,955

✓ Listing Details



Cybercrime

Cybercrime has become an issue for nearly everyone using a cell phone or computer and especially so for the real estate industry. Large sums of money are transferred with a click or sent via emailed instructions. In some cases, those instructions may have come from an imposter posing as the escrow or title officer. We all need to be aware and alert in light of cybercrime's pervasive spread. Take advantage of C.A.R.'s tools which can help REALTORS[®] and their clients protect themselves from cybercrime.

Wire Fraud Advisory (WFA): The WFA can be given to both buyers and sellers to warn them of the potential dangers when wiring large sums of money. It advises buyers and sellers to:

- Obtain escrow and title phone numbers at the beginning of a transaction
- Never wire funds prior to calling the escrow or title officer to confirm the instructions
- Avoid sending personal information by email or texts
- Use strong passwords and secure WiFi

Protect Your Brokerage from Cybercrime Q&A: This extensive Q&A has tips on Email Practices, How to Handle Sensitive Documents, and Data Security Systems, among other things. It also has important information about actions to take if there is a data breach:

- Contact the bank and escrow holder to stop funds.
- If the funds have been distributed, call the FBI financial crimes unit immediately. The number of their office in Los Angeles is 310-477-6565 and is available 24/7. The FBI has had greater success in recovering funds when victims or financial institutions report unauthorized wire transfers to law enforcement within 24 hours.
- Follow up with a complaint with the FBI's IC3 at www.ic3. gov, or contact the nearest US Secret Service field office through www.secretservice.gov/field_offices.shtml.

Getting Ready for the 2018 Advertising Standards

Although it is only February 2017, it is time to start thinking about the new advertising standards taking effect January 1, 2018. AB 1650 makes significant changes to Business and Professions Code § 10140.6 expanding what must be included in advertising materials, including business cards, "for sale", "open house", lease, rent and directional signs, stationary, advertising flyers, and print and electronic advertising. There is no prohibition on being ahead of the effective date, so before ordering new advertising materials, you may want to make sure that your advertising materials comply for 2018. Here is a summary of the new requirements: **When and What:** Beginning January 1, 2018, a licensee must disclose on all solicitation materials intended to be the first point of contact with consumers

- o Name and license number (license number type-size no smaller than other smallest type in solicitation), and
- o The responsible broker's "identity," meaning the name under which the broker is currently licensed by CalBRE and conducts business in general or is a substantial division of the real estate firm. The broker's license number is optional.

Where:

- o Business cards
- o Stationery
- o Advertising flyers
- o Advertisements on television, in print, or electronic media
- o "For sale," "open house," lease, rent or directional signs
- o Any other material designed to solicit the creation of a professional relationship between the licensee and a consumer

• Limited Exception: "For sale," rent, lease, "open house" and directional signs need not include the agent's or associate broker's name and license number as long as either:

- o The responsible broker's "identity" appears. Under this exception there can be no reference on the sign to an associate broker or licensee, or
- o There is no licensee identification information at all.

This exception also applies to the general rule of disclosing a licensee's status, such as broker, agent, or REALTOR[®], in all advertising. However, under the N.A.R. Code of Ethics Standard of Practice 12-5, any advertisement of real estate services or listed property in any medium must disclose the name of the firm in a reasonable and readily apparent way. So even though a licensee who is not a REALTOR[®] may post a completely generic "for sale" sign under the new law, REALTORS[®] have a higher standard.

All Sellers Must Sign Listing Agreement

To collect a commission for selling real estate, the statute of frauds requires the agreement to be in writing and signed by the party to be charged. This means that any seller who has not signed the listing would not be liable to pay a commission. But what if one seller represents that he or she has the authority to bind all other sellers? For one seller to be able to sign for another, he or she would need written authority under the "equal dignities rule" - my authority to you to sign for me must be in writing signed by me. In Jacobs v. Locatelli, Court of Appeal, H042292, Sixth Appellate District, filed February 8, 2017, the court was asked to look at this issue. There were six owners, one of whom was the trustee of his revocable living trust. The listing agent dealt with the trustee of this trust who represented that he had the authority to sign for all the owners. All six owners were listed on the listing agreement but only the trustee signed. Importantly, he signed as trustee for his living trust and included the phrase "et al." indicating that he was signing for others as well.

When the listing agreement ultimately was not honored, the listing agent sued. The lower court emphasized the very strict requirements and application of the statute of frauds in real estate commissions and granted the five non-signing owners' demurrer. They had not signed the listing contract and the listing agent, although alleging that the trustee had a written agreement, did not have any writing giving signing authority to the trustee. Additionally, the "parole evidence" rule prohibited the listing agent's introducing terms that were not part of the listing agreement.

In its review, the appellate court again stressed the very strict interpretation of the statute of frauds but reversed the lower court decision, sending the case back to the trial court, and noting that the California Supreme Court has held that the statute of frauds should not be used as a shield against contracts otherwise fairly and admittedly made. Here the listing agent alleged she was told by the trustee that he had a written agreement authorizing him to sign for all other sellers. The unique feature of this case is that the appellate court held that neither the statute of frauds nor the parole evidence rule bar the listing agent from proceeding with her case. She should be able to use "discovery" and have the court hear her evidence of a written agreement in which the five other owners authorize the trustee to deal with the listing agent and sign the listing agreement for them.

While the listing agent survived the demurrer, and gets a chance to have her day in court, the bottom line of the case is really the bottom line of the listing agreement: to assure your commission rights - all owners must sign the listing agreement. If one owner claims authority to bind the other owners, that must be in writing signed by the other owners and you should get a copy.

Tenant Waiver of Rent Control Protections

Members often call the Legal Hotline with questions about rent control jurisdictions and what, if anything, an owner can do to negotiate a move out agreement with a tenant, usually to have a unit vacant when the property is sold. Under most rent control ordinances, only the owner can move a tenant out and only for the owner or certain family members to occupy the property. The owner must also pay the appropriate relocation fee (up to \$20,000 or more) and give the proper notices. Additionally, if the owner or family member ceases to occupy the unit, the former tenant generally has the right to reoccupy the unit. The owner CANNOT use this move-out procedure for the benefit of a future buyer – only the current owner can do this, and only for themselves or certain family members.

With these very strict limitations in place, agents often ask about tenants agreeing to waive their rent control rights in return for some compensation. The general advice is that it is likely that a tenant cannot waive rent control rights. Courts have held that many residential landlord tenant laws are not waivable by tenants because of public policy issues and the perceived unequal bargaining power between landlords and tenants.

Yet, in Geraghty v. Shalizi, A144743, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, filed January 24, 2017, publication ordered February 10, 2017, the court held that a tenant could, in fact, waive certain tenant rights in a rent controlled unit. The new owner of the four-unit building in San Francisco wanted to move into one of the units. He could have used the owner move-in provisions under San Francisco's rent ordinance but instead he and the occupant, who had been in the unit for 22 years paying \$938 a month, agreed to the occupant receiving \$25,000 (claimed to be more generous than the rent ordinance requirement) and releasing the owner from all claims under the rent ordinance, including the right to reoccupy the unit if the owner should later move out.

The owner did move in and made extension renovations. Around six months after moving in the owner lost his job in San Francisco but found a new one in Santa Clara. About a year after moving in, the owner moved out, and rented the unit for \$3,700 a month but also said he would have stayed in the unit if not for the change in jobs. When the former tenant heard of this, he sued claiming fraud and that the buyout agreement should be rescinded. The appellate court spent considerable time looking at the San Francisco rent ordinance, its amendment over time, court rulings interpreting it, and the history of rent control buyouts in San Francisco. Its conclusion was that such agreements had been utilized and honored for decades and that this buyout agreement was enforceable and not subject to the rent ordinance.

Caution however: This case arose in 2013. In 2015 San Francisco's rent ordinance was amended to provide certain protections to tenants in buyout agreements, including, but not limited to, notice of (1) the right not to enter into a buyout agreement, (2) the right to consult with an attorney or the rent board, and (3) the right to rescind the buyout agreement for a specified amount of time. Additionally, buyout agreements must be filed with the rent board. Los Angeles has recently approved a very similar amendment to its rent ordinance. Whether a tenant can waive the protections in these amended ordinances remains to be seen.

The C.A.R. Legal Hotline App can now tell you how long your hold time will be to speak with an attorney before you call the hotline. Download the app today to connect with an attorney, read legal Q&As, and watch webinar videos all for free!



Housing Data Report March 2017

The Voice of Real Estate in the Inland Empire[™]



A report brought to you by the Inland Valleys Association of REALTORS[®] (IVAR) www.ivaor.com

RIVERSIDE OFFICE

3690 Elizabeth Street Riverside, California 92506 Office: 951-684-1221

RANCHO CUCAMONGA OFFICE

10574 Acacia Street, Suite #D-7 Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730 Office: 909-527-2133



Mark Dowling, Chief Executive Officer

Comparing housing data from March 2016 to March 2017 there were very strong increases in Sold Listings (up 11.6%), Sales Volume (up 18.8%) and Pending Sales (up 15.6%). Even New Listings, which were down 13.4% in February and 13.8% in January, rebounded to reflect only a 1.7% decrease for the month.

Heightened demand has also caused a reduction in "Combined Days on Market" which decreased 33.3% year-over-year.

Median Sales prices took another up-tick in March coming in at \$355,000, which is a 6% increase compared to March, 2016.

Following a robust 2016 housing market, the first quarter of 2017 is starting off with a strong demand for housing reflected through increased Sold Listings, Sales Volume and Median Sales Prices.



		Mar-2016	Mar-2017	Annual Change				
Monthly New Listings	New Listings	5,130	5,045	-1.7%				
	Pending Sales	4,093	4,730	15.6%				
3000	Sold Listings	3,478	3,880	11.6%				
	Median Sales Price	\$335,000	\$355,000	6.0%				
100° 100° 100° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 1	Sales Volume (\$M)	\$1,250	\$1,484	18.8%				
Monthly Closed Listings	Price/Sq.Ft.	\$185	\$198 ·	1 7.0%				
	Sold \$/List \$	98.60%	98.94%	1 0.3%				
	Days on Market	48	33	-31.3%				
1500	СОМ	61	39	-36.1%				
All data used to generate these reports comes from the California Regional Multiple Listing Service, Inc. If you have any questions about the data, please call the CRMLS Customer Service Department between the hours of 8:30am to 9:00pm Monday thru Friday or 10:00am to 3:00pm Saturday and Sunday at 800-925-1525 or 909-859-2040.								
Monthly Median Sales Price								
355								
sp 350 345 345 340 340 340 345 347 345 347	350 349	350 345	352	355 350 45				





Month to m what is r comparing	cs shown below are for all 3 months of the years represented. nonth comparisons give you a quick way to see recently changing in the region. However, by Year-To-Date (YTD) information across several		25%		
	s, you can observe more signifiant trends.				
			Jan-Mar 2016	Jan-Mar 2017	Year-Over-Year Change
05000	YTD New Listings	New Listings	14,074	13,152	-6.6%
35000 30000 25000	Π	Pending Sales	10,430	11,573	11.0%
20000		Sold Listings	8,643	9,350	1 8.2%
5000 0 2001	20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20°	Median Sales Price	\$327,700	\$350,000	1 6.8%
	°° °° °° °° °° °° °° °° °° °° °° °° °°	Sales Volume (\$M)	\$3,055	\$3,541	15.9%
14000	YTD Closed Listings	Price/Sq.Ft.	\$183	\$196	1 7.0%
		Sold \$/List \$	98.32%	98.69%	1.4%
8000	──────────────	Days on Market	53	38	↓ -28.3%
4000 —		CDOM	66	44	↓ -33.3%
2000 0 2901	200° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20° 20°	All data used to generate t Regional Multiple Listing S the data, please call the C the hours of 8:30am to 9:0 3:00pm Saturday and Sun	ervice, Inc. If you have any RMLS Customer Service D 0pm Monday thru Friday o	/ questions about Department between r 10:00am to	CRMLS
450 100		YTD Median Sal	es Price		
400 350 250 200 100 50 50	408 305 185 189	¢ ¢ 190 189	¢ 28 230	30 303	◆ ◆ 328 350
0	en series the series and the series the series of the seri	5 ¹¹ 2 ⁰¹²	20 ¹³ 20 ¹⁴	2015	2016 2011



Mar 2017 City Overview

www.ivaor.com

As a service and convenience to our members, IVAR is pleased to offer several "Quick Look" reports. This is one more way for IVAR members to stay informed with minimal effort.

The following mont	hly data shows "YEAR-O	YOY Median	-	rent conditions in			
	YOY Sales		Median		Price per	Total Days	
	Transactions	Sales Price %	Sales Price \$	Inventory	Sq.Ft.	on Market	
Alta Loma	-37%	11%	\$ 534,000	24	\$ 265	56	
Banning	1 7%	16%	\$ 228,000	65	\$ 161	57	
Beaumont	18%	11%	\$ 295,000	111	\$ 144	53	
Bloomington	-28%	-9%	\$ 265,000	23	\$ 218	49	
Canyon Lake	18%	-6%	\$ 385,000	38	\$ 184	133	
Cherry Valley	175%	1 66%	\$ 235,000	14	\$ 196	74	
Chino	1 29%	1 6%	\$ 435,000	104	\$ 242	20	
Chino Hills	-14%	12%	\$ 645,000	77	\$ 309	45	
Claremont	1 56%	1%	\$ 617,000	40	\$ 335	39	
Colton	1 26%	1%	\$ 249,000	56	\$ 207	16	
Corona	18%	1 4%	\$ 438,000	251	\$ 229	29	
Diamond Bar	16%	13%	\$ 625,000	71	\$ 366	40	
Eastvale	16%	1 8%	\$ 530,000	53	\$ 186	24	
Fontana	1 23%	1 9%	\$ 365,000	250	\$ 209	29	
Grand Terrace	1 20%	-6%	\$ 310,000	20	\$ 190	70	
Hemet	1 8%	15%	\$ 225,000	225	\$ 137	35	
Highland	1 23%	18%	\$ 319,000	76	\$ 187	56	
Jurupa Valley	1 62%	16%	\$ 415,000	72	\$ 221	55	
La Verne	-17%	-3%	\$ 580,000	30	\$ 312	64	
Lake Elsinore	12%	1 4%	\$ 342,000	144	\$ 165	51	
Loma Linda	1 33%	18%	\$ 390,000	19	\$ 207	55	
Menifee	17%	* 8%	\$ 340,000	177	\$ 161	39	
Mentone	10%	76%	\$ 325,000	7	\$ 196	29	
Montclair	-4%	J -1%	\$ 367,000	36	\$ 228	15	
Moreno Valley	47%	10%	\$ 295,000	282	\$ 165	40	
Murrieta	-6%	* 8%	\$ 394,000	234	\$ 173	37	
Norco	32%	11%	\$ 531,000	28	\$ 245	71	
Nuevo/Lakeview	250%	7%	\$ 295,000	22	\$ 149	43	
Ontario	-12%	10%	\$ 380,000	159	\$ 240	41	
Perris	23%	10%	\$ 274,000	131	\$ 149	46	
Pomona	6%	25%	\$ 375,000	85	\$ 272	35	
Rancho Cucamonga	3%	6 %	\$ 460,000	185	\$ 268	34	
Redlands	-12%	1 3%	\$ 369,000	78	\$ 228	56	
Rialto	28%	1 8%	\$ 320,000	117		36	
Riverside	11%	1 8%	\$ 360,000	569	\$ 217	39	
San Bernardino	13%	1 8%	\$ 237,500	308	\$ 178	47	
San Dimas	-6%	-1%	\$ 540,000	32	\$ 312	35	
San Jacinto	-8%	-4%	\$ 240,000	90	\$ 136	23	
Sun City	4%	25%	\$ 225,000	31	\$ 172	34	
Temecula	11%	23%	\$ 422,500	176	\$ 172 \$ 199	27	
Upland	11%		\$ 455,000	78	\$ 263	27	
Wildomar	1 8% 5 1%			62	\$ 263 \$ 155	63	
			, ,				
Winchester	<mark>-6% -6%</mark> de: 951.684.1221 ∣ I	4% Rancho Cucamo	\$ 389,000	58 33 FAX: 95	\$ 154	23	



Mar 2017 - Sales Volume per City

As a service and convenience to our members, IVAR is pleased to offer several "Quick Look" reports. This is one more way for IVAR members to stay informed with minimal effort.

www.ivaor.com

Riverside	348	\$134,914					
Corona	248	\$112,769,000					
Temecula	248	\$96,322,600					
Murrieta	210	\$85,225,600					
Rancho Cucamonga	153	\$81,691,700					
Fontana	219	\$80,995,500					
Moreno Valley	201 \$60,2	.62,300					
Menifee	\$50,269,300						
San Bernardino	\$50,020,200						
Chino Hills	\$47 968 500						
Hemet	71 \$46,320,100						
Diamond Bar	205 \$41,929,500	Тор 9					
Ontario	64 \$39,001,200	•					
Chino	\$27,923,600	communities had					
Lake Elsinore	\$37,926,000						
Eastvale	\$3/ 101 300	combined Sales					
Upland	<u>64</u> \$32,363,400						
Redlands	\$29,467,300	—— Volume of \$752M —					
Perris	<u> </u>						
Claremont	\$27,456,100						
Pomona	\$27,182,800						
Yucaipa	\$25,903,000						
Rialto							
Beaumont	\$3						
Jurupa Valley	\$20,569,000						
Winchester	\$19,097,200						
Wildomar	<u>45</u> <u>\$17.0/1.500</u>						
La Verne	\$17,617,100						
	\$16 721 500						
Highland San Dimas	\$16,686,200						
	\$16 369 700						
San Jacinto	\$15,723,000						
Norco	\$11,176,100						
Colton	44 \$10,982,000	Lagard					
Banning	44 \$10,833,500	Legend:					
Canyon Lake		-					
Montclair							
Alta Loma	12 \$7,114,330 16 \$6,045,390	The BLUE bars show last					
Loma Linda	16 \$0,043,330 \$6,011,200	month's sales volume					
Sun City	\$5 114 400						
Bloomington	18 \$3,114,400	(both count and dollars)					
Nuevo/Lakeview	18 14 14 \$3,791,400	for each city.					
Grand Terrace							
Mentone	\$3,279,900						
Cherry Valley	\$3,027,900 11						

Riverside: 951.684.1221 | Rancho Cucamonga: 909.527.2133 | FAX: 951.684.0450

www.ivaor.com



Mar 2017 - Top Communities with New Listings (year-over-year)

As a service and convenience to our members, IVAR is pleased to offer several "Quick Look" reports. This is one more way for IVAR members to stay informed with minimal effort.

-100%	-80%	-60%	-40%	-20%	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100
N	lentone	12	I	1		1	I		1	
Cherr	y Valley	15								
Win	chester	85								
Μ	ontclair	25								
Alt	a Loma	29								
Nuevo/La	akeview	18								
W	ildomar	75								
Cany	on Lake	55								
	Norco	48								
Lake I	Elsinore	172								
	Colton	62								
Bea	aumont	107					Legen	d:		
	Chino	114								
Diam	ond Bar	93					The col	umn of nu	imbers	
	Rialto	100						left is the		L
	no Hills	116								L
	Venifee	210						tings in ea	chicity	L
	Ontario	165					for last	month.		
	nington	23								
	mecula	279					The bar	rs show th	e	
Rancho Cuca		233					annual	percent cl	hange	
R	edlands	99					since the same month, 1 year ago.		-	
	Perris	129								
R	iverside	458								
	Yucaipa	79								
San Ber	nardino	255								
	Corona	289								
	Hemet	258								
	Upland	104								
N	lurrieta	258								
	Sun City	31								
	a Valley	51								
	remont	36								
	ontana	244								
	Pomona	92								
	n Dimas	49								
	na Linda	12								
	ighland	69								
	Jacinto	78								
	o Valley	215								
	Terrace	13								
	Banning	54								
	a Verne	28								
E	astvale	62								

Riverside: 951.684.1221 | Rancho Cucamonga: 909.527.2133 | FAX: 951.684.0450



Sell Price vs Original List Price

 WWW.ivaor.com
 As a service and convenience to our members, IVAR is pleased to offer several "Quick Look" reports. This is one more way for IVAR members to stay informed with minimal effort.



This report is brought to you by IVAR:

As a service to the more than 4 million residents of the Inland Empire, the **Inland Valleys Association of Realtors**[®] is proud to distribute this data report on the housing market in the 50 communities served by our Realtor Members.

The core purpose of IVAR is to help its members become more professional and profitable, while promoting and protecting real property rights.



Riverside: 951.684.1221 | Rancho Cucamonga: 909.527.2133 | FAX: 951.684.0450

Finance Type

With National Flood Insurance Program Expiring in Six Months, Realtors® Sound the Alarm



MEDIA CONTACT: JON BOUGHTIN / 202-383-1193

WASHINGTON (March 30, 2017) — On September 30, just six months from today, the National Flood Insurance Program will expire. The National Association of Realtors[®] is working closely(link is external) with federal regulators and members of Congress to strengthen the program(link is external) and clear the way for a private market to take hold; NAR has also issued a series of principles(link is external) to improve access and affordability for consumers.

But Realtors[®] warn the program's September 30 reauthorization deadline is a threat to consumers.

NAR President William E. Brown, a second-generation Realtor[®] from Alamo, California and founder of Investment Properties, believes that expiration would deal significant damage to current policy-holding property owners, as well as threaten property sales and the broader housing market.

Brown said that Realtors[®] see the NFIP's importance every day in their lives and in their business and made the following statement:

"When the NFIP expired in 2010, over 1,300 home sales were disrupted every day as a result. That's over 40,000 every month. Flood insurance is required for a mortgage in the 100year floodplain, but without access to the NFIP, buyers simply couldn't get a mortgage or vital protection from the No. 1 cause of loss of property and life: flooding.

"This problem affects far more than coastal communities, and prospective homeowners aren't the only ones at risk. Policyholders in over 22,000 communities across the country depend on the NFIP to protect homes and businesses from torrential rain, swollen rivers and lakes, snowmelt, failing infrastructure, as well as storm surges and hurricanes. When that lifeline is cut off, the NFIP can't issue new policies or renew existing residential or commercial policies that expire. That means current home and business owners may find their most important asset unprotected.

"Last year was the third largest claims payout year in NFIP's history, costing more than \$4 billion. While there were five billion-dollar floods, including Hurricane Matthew, four of the five were inland, and the largest single event was in Baton Rouge, Louisiana in August, just one year out from the NFIP's expiration date.

"The NFIP isn't perfect, and reforms are needed. We will continue working closely with everyone involved to achieve those reforms.

"Good work has been done in Congress, at FEMA and elsewhere to clear the way for those efforts. We thank leaders on both sides of the aisle for all they've done up to this point. Now, it's time for action. Congress has six months to do the right thing and pass a long-term reauthorization of the program. We're hoping they do just that."

The National Association of Realtors[®], "The Voice for Real Estate," is America's largest trade association, representing 1.2 million members involved in all aspects of the residential and commercial real estate industries.

Affordability, Tight Supply Cause Vacation Home Sales to Plummet in 2016; Investment Sales Climb 4.5%

MEDIA CONTACT: ADAM DESANCTIS / 202-383-1178

WASHINGTON (April 11, 2017) — Last year's strongest pace of home sales in a decade included a sizeable drop in activity from vacation buyers and a jump from individual investors, according to an annual second-home survey released today by the National Association of Realtors[®]. The survey additionally found that vacation and investment buyers in 2016 were more likely to take out a mortgage and use their property as a short-term rental.

NAR's 2017 Investment and Vacation Home Buyers Survey 1, covering existing- and new-home transactions in 2016, revealed that vacation home purchases last year descended to an estimated 721,000, down 21.6 percent from 2015 (920,000) and the lowest since 2013 (717,000). Investment-home sales in 2016 rose 4.5 percent to 1.14 million from 1.09 million in 2015. Owner-occupied purchases jumped 12.5 percent to 4.21 million last year from 3.74 million in 2015 – the highest level since 2006 (4.82 million).

Lawrence Yun, NAR chief economist, says vacation sales in 2016 tumbled for the second consecutive year and have fallen 36 percent from their recent peak high in 2014 (1.13 million). "In several markets in the South and West – the two most popular destinations for vacation buyers – home prices have soared in recent years because substantial buyer demand from strong job growth continues to outstrip the supply of homes for sale," he said. "With fewer bargain-priced properties to choose from and a growing number of traditional buyers, finding a home for vacation purposes became more difficult and less affordable last year."

Added Yun, "The volatility seen in the financial markets in late 2015 through the early part of last year also put a dent in sales as some affluent households with money in stocks likely refrained from buying or delayed plans until after the election."

Tight inventory conditions pushed the median sales price of both vacation and investment homes last year to levels not seen in roughly a decade. The median vacation home price was \$200,000, up 4.2 percent from 2015 (\$192,000) and the highest since 2006 (also \$200,000). The median investmenthome sales price was \$155,000, up 8.0 percent from 2015 (\$143,500) and the highest since 2005 (\$183,500). With home prices steadily rising, an increasing share of second-home buyers financed their purchase last year. The share of vacation buyers who paid fully in cash diminished to 28 percent (38 percent in 2015), while cash purchases by investors decreased to 35 percent from 39 percent in 2015 and 41 percent in 2014.

"Sales to individual investors reached their highest level since 2012 (1.20 million) as investors took advantage of record low mortgage rates and recognized the sizeable demand for renting in their market as renters struggle to become homeowners," said Yun. "The ability to generate rental income or remodel a home to put back on a market with tight inventory is giving investors increased confidence in their ability to see strong returns in their home purchase."

Vacation sales accounted for 12 percent of all transactions in 2016, which was the lowest share since 2012 (11 percent) and down from 16 percent in 2015. The portion of investment sales remained unchanged for the third consecutive year at 19 percent, and owner-occupied purchases increased to 70 percent (65 percent in 2015).

Greater interest in short-term rentals; South most popular destination

Given the rising popularity of short-term rentals in locales throughout the country, it's no surprise there were slightly more investment and vacation buyers renting their property for less than 30 days. Forty-four percent of investors (42 percent in 2015) and 29 percent of vacation buyers (24 percent in 2015) did or tried to rent their property last year and plan to do so in 2017. Twenty-one percent of investment buyers and 15 percent of vacation buyers did not rent their home for short-term purposes last year but plan to try it in 2017.

Vacation buyers' typically earned \$89,900 (\$103,700 in 2015), while investment buyers had a household income of \$82,000 (\$95,800 in 2015). Both were most likely to

purchase a single-family home in the South, with vacation buyers preferring a beach location and investors choosing a suburban area.

The top two reasons for buying a vacation home were to use for vacations or as a family retreat (42 percent) and for future retirement (18 percent), while investors mostly bought to generate income through renting (42 percent) and for potential price appreciation (16 percent).

NAR's 2017 Investment and Vacation Home Buyers Survey, conducted in March 2017, surveyed a sample of households that had purchased any type of residential real estate during 2016. The survey sample was drawn from an online panel of U.S. adults monitored and maintained by an established survey research firm. A total of 2,099 qualified adults responded to the survey.

The 2017 Investment and Vacation Home Buyers Survey can be ordered by calling 800-874-6500, or online at www. nar.realtor/prodser.nsf/Research. The report is free to NAR members and accredited media and costs \$149.95 for nonmembers.

The National Association of Realtors[®], "The Voice for Real Estate," is America's largest trade association, representing 1.2 million members involved in all aspects of the residential and commercial real estate industries.

1 Vacation homes are recreational property purchased primarily for the buyer's (or their family's) personal use, while investment homes are residential property purchased primarily to rent to others, or to hold for other financial or investment purposes. Sales data excludes institutional investment activity.

Home sales were calculated based on a proportion of buyers who bought each respective home type—vacation, investment, and primary residence. The number of purchases for each housing type were calculated using the total number of existing home sales and new homes in 2016. To calculate the difference in the number of purchases in 2015 to 2016, the percent change of each housing type purchased was calculated. Receive Weekly IVAR News and Updates via Text Messages



Stay Connected

As part of our efforts to keep you informed in a prompt and convenient manner IVAR offers a text-messaging service for weekly alerts, news and information.

To Register

Send the text "subscribe all" to 951-999-4354

The following English-language commands will also work: STOP, STOPALL, UNSUBSCRIBE, CANCEL, END, and QUIT will stop you from receiving messages.

BY SIGNING UP, YOU CONSENT TO RECEIVE TEXT MESSAGES BY AUTOMATED MEANS. THIS SERVICE IS FREE FROM IVAR, BUT CARRIER MESSAGE AND DATA RATES MAY APPLY. IVAR HAS SEVERAL CATEGORIES/TOPICS THAT MIGHT INTEREST YOU:

subscribe all	Information Broadcast to all subscribers	
subscribe advocacy	REALTOR Party "Call to Action" – NAR/CAR red alerts	
subscribe alerts	Emergency information, closures, and holiday schedule	S
subscribe breakfast	Breakfast Meetings	
subscribe dues	Reminders about dues payments and deadlines	
subscribe education	Updates on education and training opportunities	Ivar
subscribe events	Announcements about special events	INLAND VALLEYS